FEEDBACK Have your say

Brexit vote complicates Scottish independence

Editor: Having read the recent market feature on Scotland's reaction to Brexit (p41, 19.08.16), I wanted to reflect on how the UK property investment market seems to assume that a second Scottish independence referendum is unavoidable.

Prior to the EU vote, research by Morton Fraser indicated that Scottish independence was not a key consideration for UK property investment in Scotland, provided yield outperforms other regions. So what changed?

Roll forward and the prevailing Brexit mood seems to be that After the initial it is just a matter shock of the Brexit of time before a decision, we are second Scottish seeing parts of the independence Scottish property referendum is market stabilise called, causing some institutional investors' appetite to invest in Scottish property to cool for now.

This can partly be explained by the EU referendum result taking the property industry by surprise. In the run-up to the EU vote, it was clear that most investors expected a tight contest, ultimately resulting in the UK remaining within the EU.

The majority of UK institutional investors are based in London - the zeitgeist there and in Scotland was strongly supportive of EU membership. It is understandable

Can Glasgow and Edinburgh prosper after Brexit vote?

asks how Edinburgh and Glasgow are responding Scotland is still reeling after the UK's decision-or more accurately England and Wales's decision-to leave the EU. The subject dominates conversation in the commercial property market, but the typical response when you ask Scottish agents about the impact of the leave vote is "business as usual". However, positive words count for little, investors- particularly the large funds - were rocked by the vote-according to one local agent they were caught "like rabbits in the headlights". So what lies in store for Scotland's two biggest cities in the wake of the momentous referendum result?

et in Edinburgh looked pretty rosy. Deals on big office sites were close to completion: 40m Argyle House; Princes Exchange and rgyle House; Princes Exchange and r House, valued at around £70m to Vaverley Gate, which commanded r than £65m.

n E65m.
had agreed to purchase the
rley Gate from M&G before
the deal had a Brexit
tty fell through after

perhaps that investors repeated what their friends and colleagues were saying without correctly reading the wider mood outside the UK capital and in Scotland.

The decision to leave the EU makes some of the issues surrounding Scottish independence more difficult to resolve. In 2014, the 'Yes' campaign proposed that an independent Scotland would use sterling. Post Brexit, it is difficult to see a Scotland within Europe using the currency of a country that no longer forms part of the EU.

After the initial shock of the Brexit decision, we are seeing parts of the Scottish property market stabilise.



failure wasn't a direct result or the unsupport to leave the EU. Actume \$700 off-market sale of Princes Exchange and the adjoining New Überlor House, on Earl Grey Street, to private Korean investor Hyundal Fire & Marine Insurance was also agreed pre-vote but now hangs "in the balance", according to a source close to the deal. Despi' it would be wrong to thin' be

Week understands that German institutional fund TRIIVA is under offer to buy Waverley Gate, albeit it at sub-£65m price, while Argyle House is also attracting interest from buyers, although it is understood that any deal would likely be for less than £40m.

The market has been knocked by the vote, certainly, but it is recovering, claim local market experts, who say there is no need for panic. "In the first couple of weeks post-flexist [vote], there was definitely an overreaction in my opin-

While it is true that some larger investment deals have either been dropped or remain on hold, others have completed subject to price adjustments. Credit is more readily available than in 2009, however, and occupier fundamentals are holding for the time being, so most of the uncertainty seems to revolve around price as investors get used to the 'new normal'.

It will be interesting to watch how the political landscape develops and how the market reacts to that, but it is important to keep a level head in uncertain times and take investment decisions based on facts and fundamentals, not speculation.

David Stewart, commercial real estate partner, Morton Fraser

Posts and tweets

Bank of Mum and Dad lending more widely is proof that we need a supply-side revolution in housing

@landg_business

Two-thirds of government housebuilding funds 'go towards fuelling demand' @Shelter

Govt appears to be thinking the unthinkable in housing - will they let local authorities build or develop their own homes?

@KateTay73593006

At least Croydon is getting a Boxpark. Tunbridge Wells has a grot spot that has been crying out for one for a decade

@ejw1601

Industrial rents are going up and up in the #Midlands could the £7/sq ft barrier soon be broken?

@NovaLoca

In new home building everything significant is decided by the state, so it's hard to blame developers for unbuilt homes @danielmgmoylan

Offsite construction is not only viable but necessary

Editor: Government ministers need to be very careful in their approach to offsite construction (OSC). It is not something to shoehorn into one of their existing schemes ('Ministers plot modular housing push', PropertyWeek.com, 19.08.16).

This viable solution to the housing shortage should be approached with fresh eyes and the private sector should develop production line techniques in multiple factories around the UK.

particularly where there is an economic downturn or higher unemployment. Ideal places for factories are disused steel mills or other unused industrial sites.

OSC is not new commercial buildings have been created this way for many years. It is time to learn from this experience and understand that building homes in factories and delivering the finished product to pre-prepared land means the quality goes up,

because of the controlled manufacturing environment, and the time to delivery goes down because the land is prepared in parallel with the building being manufactured.

I also advise caution about the technology used. L&G is using cross-laminated timber, which, while strong, might not be the best way to go. Hybrid steel frame and timber modules offer a better, more flexible and ecologically sound solution standardised modules can

be created on production lines and customised to become different parts of the building. Assembly-line technology must be used to achieve the volumes and quality required.

If the UK focused on becoming a viable mass production specialist of OSC housing, we could also export quality homes to the rest of the world.

Carl Henry, chief executive, Carl Henry **Property**

Send letters to: Property Week, Metropolis International, 6th floor, Davis House, 2 Robert Street, Croydon CRO 1QQ

Email letters@ propertyweek.com Tweet us @PropertyWeek Discuss at Linked.in/ **PropertyWeek** Like us at Facebook.com/ pwmagazine



The Editor reserves the right to edit letters

02|09|16 23 propertyweek.com